



Make a complaint

Date: **11 March 2026**

Time: **15:05**

This form has been sent to the Metropolitan Police via the Single Online Home reporting service.

COM-19998-26-0100-00

Your details

Your details

Title

Mr

First name

Mark

Middle name(s)

not given

Surname

Sexton

Date of birth (DD/MM/YYYY)

[REDACTED]

Contact details

We'll need to write to you about your complaint - please enter your postcode

[REDACTED]

Please select all ways you are happy for us to contact you - the more contact details you can give us the better

By email

Email address

[REDACTED]

About your complaint

About your complaint

Have you told us about this before?

No

Do any of these describe what happened? Please select the option that most closely matches your complaint.

Issues with my crime report

Please tell us what happened eg what was said or done, who was involved, if there was any damage or injury. (You have approximately 2,500 characters.)

Crime report 6029679/21.

I am one of the original informants, witness and I have continued to provide evidence of the crimes reported.

The report was abruptly shut down by Acting T assistant commissioner Jane Connors and Detective Superintendent Tor Garnett.

New evidence has come to light via FOI request replies from Police Scotland, The NPCC and publicly available information given to the Covid inquiry.

This evidence now shows and proves very serious crimes have been committed by senior police within The Metropolitan Police and the NPCC and its Gold, Silver and Bronze command structure.

I submitted this new evidence to

Mr Andrew Holt, Temp head of operation legal advice, Directorate of legal Services. His advice was to make a complaint direct to the Metropolitan police's professional standards department.

I submitted the new evidence direct to The Metropolitan Police on the 4th of February 2026, reference number

CIN-13252-26-0100-000

Advised I will be contacted within 5 days.

At the time of this submission I have not been contacted regarding this new and disturbing information.

This is a link to the evidence submitted.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/met_police_new_evidence.pdf

I wish to make a formal complaint about the crimes evidenced within the email and against the officers responsible.

Is this complaint about something that happened on one day or over many days?

Yes – it happened over several days

Dates of incident(s) (DD/MM/YYYY)

20/12/2021 - 11/03/2026

Where did it happen?

Metropolitan Police

National police chiefs council (NPCC)

Police Scotland

Are there any reference numbers to do with this complaint?

6029679/21

CIN-13252-26-0100-000.

Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about what happened or about yourself that you think we need to know? (You have approximately 800 characters.)

An email was issued by DSUPT Garnett stating new evidence submitted will be assessed but not responded to.

The crime number remains closed despite this significant new and other damning evidence being submitted that adds to the weight of the allegations being made.

The suppression of this evidence and the continued denial to reopen the CRN goes against The MPS own investigation policy, crime recording standards, The CPIA 1996 and it is unlawful.

All police federations were sent and acknowledged the email and its content, they have not acted upon any of it.

National Police Federation of England and Wales.

Metropolitan Police Federation.

Police Scotland Federation.

Police federation of Northern Ireland.

A separate complaint has been made to the Scottish Police Authority.

Other people involved

Other people involved

Are you complaining about an officer or someone who works for the police?

Yes

Person 1

Rank (if an officer)

not given

Shoulder or collar number (if an officer)

not given

First name

not given

Surname

not given

Do you know where this person works?

See link attached

Are there any witnesses to what happened that you'd like to tell us about?

Yes

Witness 1

Title

Mr

First name

Philup

Middle name(s)

not given

Surname

Hyland

Do you have any contact details for this witness? eg address, phone number

Yes

Please add their postcode

not given

Telephone number (for international numbers include the country code)

not given

Email address

[REDACTED]

Witness 2

Title

Mr

First name

Ian

Middle name(s)

not given

Surname

Clayton

Do you have any contact details for this witness? eg address, phone number

Yes

Please add their postcode

not given

Telephone number (for international numbers include the country code)

not given

Email address

[REDACTED]

What would you like to happen?

What would you like to see happen?

Please select one outcome.

something not on this list

Please tell us what you'd like to happen.

Reopen investigate 6029679/21

Arrest those responsible for the crimes

Equality monitoring

Will you answer a few questions about yourself?

Please tell us your age.

59

Do you consider yourself to have a disability?

Prefer not to say

What is your ethnic group?

White

Which one best describes your White background?

British, English, Northern Irish, Scottish, or Welsh

Which best describes your gender?

Male

Is your gender now different from when you were born?

No

What is your sexual orientation?

Heterosexual or straight

What is your legal marital or registered civil partnership status?

Prefer not to say

Tell us about any paternity/maternity leave. Are you:

Not pregnant or on maternity/paternity/adoption leave

Do you follow a religion or belief?

No

Confirmation

Confirmation

Please tick to confirm that the information you've given us in this form is accurate to the best of your knowledge.

checked

The Metropolitan Police claimed there was no criminal investigation steps taken. This statement is now proven to be false.

1,

- a) Multiple email interaction and replies from Superintendent Jon Simpson, assistant to Commissioner Cressida Dick acknowledging and forwarding evidence onto the investigation team.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/jon_simpson_related_correspondence.png

- b) Email interaction with a detective advising where and how to send witness, victim, expert and whistleblower statements.

Email from John Morris MP confirming a live criminal investigation. Two separate signed receipts by detectives confirming the submission of statements and further evidence on two different dates.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/sexton_hussey_and_other.png

- c) Two short videos of police interactions, one with Inspector Sheehan at Hammersmith police station confirming a live criminal investigation. This is dated 27/01/22.

https://youtube.com/shorts/jpTgQgXC8vg?si=b_So1ZNBqrhvixa-

The other a Sergeant at New Scotland Yard confirming there is a live investigation with detectives appointed. This is dated 03/02/2022.

https://youtu.be/JcDJJZ_j8T0?si=CbLYqdo9x8TjxqVu

- d) Audio recording, member of the public to The Metropolitan Police crime desk who confirm a live investigation, people are submitting their details and evidence to be added to the crime report. This is dated 18/01/2022.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/MetropolitanPolice_investigation_Confirmation_audio.m4a

2, CPIA 1996.

<https://www.college.police.uk/app/investigation/investigation-introduction?highlight=cpia>

- The Metropolitan Police issued a crime number.
- An evidence portal was opened by The Metropolitan Police and a significant amount of evidence was submitted by the informants as directed by a Detective involved in the case.
- further evidence was submitted in person to the officers investigating, approximately four hundred victim, witness, expert and whistleblower statements, evidence folders, electronic memory sticks, emailed evidence from multiple expert witnesses from the U.K and worldwide.
- An investigative command structure was established including at least three Detective Constables, a Detective Sergeant, Detective Inspector and a Detective Chief Inspector.
- MPS professional standards correspondence dated 12th August 2025 confirmed that crime reference number 6029679/21 evidential material is still retained and was reviewed in 2025. Therefore CPIA applies, yet, the crime report remains closed. How is this possible considering evidence has continued to be submitted that proves criminality? Also when new evidence comes to light the crime report must be re-visited and the evidence applied.
- Professional investigative curiosity must be maintained and CPIA clearly states;

“An investigation conducted by police officers with a view to it being ascertained whether a person should be charged with an offence, or whether a person charged with an offence is guilty of it.”
- Of significance, on the 5th January 2022 at Hammersmith Police station I met with Detectives Nadvornik and Au.
I submitted 1100 pages of further evidence. Both detectives stated;

“This is the biggest criminal investigation in history and it’s too big for The Metropolitan Police and they will need outside help.”

Further proof CPIA was triggered and a criminal investigation was taking place.

3, Operation Talla was a nationally co-ordinated structured policing response to the pandemic run by the National Police Chiefs Council (NPCC) headed by Gold Command Martin Hewitt.

However, it also created a U.K wide directive to all Chief Constable’s in January 2022, not to accept any reports of Covid or vaccine related crimes. This order was disseminated by all Chief Constable’s and actioned accordingly by all Constables U.K wide.

This is constitutionally and lawfully problematic because a certain group of crimes were;

- Not recorded,
- Not investigated in accordance with the law,
- Not assessed properly,
- Often ignored entirely.

That means the system designed to protect the public simply stopped functioning as it should.

Operation Talla was national. It involved senior police leadership. That means the police cannot fairly investigate their own decision to block reports without a serious conflict of interest.

It would be like marking your own exam after deciding not to sit it.

The rule of law means:

- Everyone is equal under the law.
- Laws apply even in emergencies.
- Police cannot choose for themselves which laws to follow.

When police are told to reject reports instead of recording them, the law is then replaced by policy and that is exactly what happened here.

- Laws are made by Parliament.
- Policies are made behind closed doors.

How was this policy allowed to override the law and circumnavigate the courts authority?

The police were ordered to;

“Don’t record this,”

“Don’t investigate that,”

“Ignore these people,”

Then the same system could be used again, for anything whatsoever and due process bypassed including the courts not having sight of these matters to rule upon. This is a very dangerous precedent being set.

The simple truth is that Operation Talla’s rejection element meant;

- Complaints were blocked,
- Evidence was lost,
- Accountability was avoided.
- Victims were dismissed and labelled as anti vaxers or protesters.

When the police stop listening to the public, having been ordered to do so, the law stops protecting the public and once that happens, trust collapses.

FOI reply from Police Scotland confirming the Gold Command directive from the NPCC not to accept any reports relating to these matters.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/police_scotland_foi_response_20032025.pdf

4, a short video dated March 2024 whereby two Police Scotland officers clearly state to witnesses trying to report crimes and submit evidence;

“Assistant Chief Constable Alan Speirs ordered all Police Scotland officers not to accept any reports on these matters.”

<https://youtube.com/shorts/1e90oAtJoz0?si=8xX1aI2UOeLQuI1c>

This order was given in January 2022, based on CRN 6029679/21.

Operation Talla was supposedly closed down in June 2022, yet almost two years later evidence of crime is still being refused. How is this possible?

5, an internal email thread obtained from an FOI clearly shows the decision to close down the crime report 6029679/21, advise no crimes existed or that no criminal investigation took place was dated 18th of January 2022 by Silver command of Operation Talla ACC Owen Weatherill.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/NPCC_FOI_disclosures.pdf

This email thread also continuously refers to the complainants, victims and witnesses as;

“Anti vaxers”

This is derogatory and discriminatory.

The police are supposed to remain independent, impartial, objective, professional and respectful.

At what point is it acceptable to label those reporting serious crimes, the victims, witnesses, whistleblowers and world renowned medical, legal, data and scientific experts with defamatory and derogatory comments and labels across the board?

The thread also exposes an almost congratulatory tone to the success of the police to limit the issuing of any crime numbers to only two.

Further proof this was an organised directive from senior police to suppress any crime reports U.K wide and clearly influenced the decision making surrounding crime number 6029679/21.

The closure of 6029679/21 was then used U.K wide as a template to shut down every other report instantly.

This raises further very serious constitutional issues and exposes further criminal conduct by the police.

R v Dytham 1979.

<https://herstmonceuxsussex.com/Statute Law Planning Crimes Enforcement/R v Dytham 1979 Malfeasance In Public Office Criminal Common Law Offences QBD Appeal Police Constable Lord Widgery Wilful Neglect.html>

A police officer has a public duty to act due to his official position. Police officers have a duty of care to all of society and must not fail to fulfil this duty by act or omission. This applies on and off duty.

“It is thus incumbent on officers of the law, that when a crime is reported to them or when they witness a crime in action, as in an ongoing situation that constitutes illegal behaviour in common law. That such crime should be prevented or investigated. They cannot simply walk away from a crime scene.”

Multiple times across the U.K police officers were unlawfully refusing to carry out their duties by acting on a blanket order that does not reconcile with the responsibilities and obligations of every serving police officer.

Misconduct in Public Office committed at every turn according to R v Dytham.

6, The essential question is not whether Operation Talla was well intentioned, necessary or politically supported.
The essential question is whether policing bodies lawfully discharged their non-discretionary statutory common law duties?

Or were those policing duties displaced by a policy incompatible with the rule of law?

It is now very clear and evidenced the latter has been established. Extremely serious crimes across the board have been committed, multiple times in all policing jurisdictions.

It is long established the police must accept allegations of criminal conduct made in good faith and record them in accordance with crime recording standards, investigate with an open and curious mind, preserve and document evidence, consider all lines of enquiry, be victim focused and adhere to the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, their duties arising from common law and the long established acceptance policing is by consent.

These duties are attached to the office of Constable and not to a policy preference dictated by a self appointed non commissioned Police Chiefs Council.

What happened to without fear or favour, impartiality and respecting all human rights?

The NPCC do not have the authority to issue such directives, that by pass the courts, ignore the public's rights to report crimes, divert such reports elsewhere to avoid the crimes being recorded into the crime database.

The rule of law still applies during an emergency situation, the rights of prosecutors to review evidence, the rights of the coroners to carry out their duties unhindered and the rights of the public to access the justice system all must apply regardless.

The NPCC, Operation Talla, Gold and Silver command, Senior Police and each individual Constable implemented an unlawful policy and ignored the rule of law.

This is not speculative, it is evidenced by their own internal documents, emails, statements and replies to FOIs.

Video and Audio evidence fully supporting these facts.

7, rather than record the crimes, reject them, as this FOI reply confirms, redirecting such complaints to the Scottish intelligence Department and also recorded as a Corona Virus Incident with Operation Talla.

https://ethicalapproach.co.uk/FOI_25_0673_police_scotland_speirs_directive.pdf

“Should any officer or member of staff be approached or contacted by people requesting assistance in line with any of the above, then these requests should be rejected.

A SID entry should be submitted and marked for the attention of Operation Norden, and Operation Talla should be informed via a CVI entry.”

It's very hard to comprehend the fact this happened thousands and thousands of times. The public were treated like criminals only for trying to report crimes and to raise well evidenced concerns of death and harms.

The police broke every rule and failed the public, the very people they are supposed to serve without fear or favour and independently. There was no independence and there was a lot of favour.

The Metropolitan Police didn't close the crime number down because they couldn't find any evidence of crime, on the contrary, it was closed down because of the seriousness of the crimes we reported and because of the identities of those who had committed said crimes.

Did The Metropolitan Police Pervert the Course of Justice, Misconduct themselves in Public Office and Assist Offenders?

Had The Metropolitan Police carried out their lawful duties, fully investigated as they should, maybe the excess deaths, turbo cancers, heart attacks, sudden deaths and serious illnesses we are seeing explode around us the last four years, since the vaccine roll out, just think how many people could have been saved?

"Following orders."

That statement doesn't wash anymore.

Of significance and huge concern;

Four hundred (400) witness, victim, expert, whistleblower statements were submitted to The Metropolitan Police under CRN 6029679/21

Not one of those statement providers were ever contacted, spoken to or had any interaction with any Detective, Constable or Civilian from within The Metropolitan Police.

Not one of them had their evidence scrutinised, challenged or verified.

This included witnesses from front line health care who attested to blanket and systemic;

Do not resuscitate all without any consent. Deaths falsely and routinely certified as covid. Deprivation of liberty safeguards being suspended. No training on vaccine adverse event reporting. Institutional blindness to vaccine injuries.

Serious misuse of the end of life procedures tantamount to murder and manslaughter often incorrectly referred to as involuntary euthanasia.

Statements, contact details provided and direct contact from a number of the worlds leading medical, scientific, data and psychology experts. All of whom were ignored.

The NPCC unlawfully interfered with the crime report and affected its premature closure, CPIA was triggered, therefore an investigation was initiated.

An investigation having been triggered, the investigative steps should have been followed as per The Metropolitan Police's own investigation policy.

Gather evidence, interview and statement witnesses, victims, experts, whistleblowers and the complainants, identify offenders, arrest and interview offenders, house searches and seize electronic devices, submit all to the CPS for any charging decisions.

Operation Talla, The NPCC, Gold and Silver command interfered with a live criminal investigation and affected its handling as per CPIA, home office crime recording rules, criminal investigation policy and the victims charter.

Can I respectfully request acknowledgement of this email, advise how you will proceed, please also furnish me with the details of a point of contact and for any allocated investigation team?

This clearly fits into the remit as evidence to re-open the crime report, apply this information and evidence that supports this.

Ian Clayton, former lawyer and lead investigator at Ethical Approach UK is now a material witness having obtained the majority of this new evidence.

My preferred means of contact is via email.

Yours sincerely

Mark Sexton