

No Wrongdoing?

Why the Metropolitan Police Service's Conclusion on CRN 6029679/21 Cannot Stand

This brief addresses the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) position that, in effect, no wrongdoing was found in relation to Crime Reference Number 6029679/21, submitted in December 2021. The conclusion is demonstrably flawed because it was reached without a CPIA-compliant investigation and is contradicted by documentary evidence of investigative activity.

1. CPIA Triggered by Police Actions

- A Crime Reference Number (CRN 6029679/21) was formally issued.
- Hundreds of witness and expert statements were received and logged.
- Internal communication confirmed an active investigation as of February 2022.
- Material remains retained by the MPS, certainly up to 12th August 2025 (only days ago).

These steps meet the statutory definition of an investigation under Section 23(1) of the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (CPIA), which obliges retention, disclosure, and proper review.

2. The Police Claim

The MPS has claimed publicly and in correspondence that “no investigation” took place. This assertion is contradicted by their own documented actions, which constitute investigative steps under the CPIA framework.

3. How Could No Wrongdoing Be Concluded?

The only possible routes to the no wrongdoing conclusion are:

1. **No proper review** – Failure to assess the submitted evidence at all.
2. **Policy override** – Operation Talla guidance instructed forces to reject vaccine-related reports, overriding statute.
3. **Narrative protection** – Avoiding findings that would implicate government policy or officials.
4. **Deliberate misrepresentation** – Asserting no investigation to avoid CPIA obligations.

4. Why the Conclusion Collapses

- The CPIA was triggered; duties were engaged.
- Evidence was received but not lawfully processed.
- A blanket directive (such as Operation Talla, for example) cannot override statute.
- Any assertion of no wrongdoing, or similar, without a CPIA-compliant review is procedurally invalid.

Therefore, the MPS conclusion is unsafe, unlawful, and incapable of standing up at all, under scrutiny.

Conclusion: The no wrongdoing determination in CRN 6029679/21 is untenable. It rests on either a failure to investigate, a policy-driven override, or deliberate misrepresentation. None of these withstand statutory or judicial scrutiny – It really is that simple!

Ian Clayton

16th August 2025