

# **A Message for the Compromised Media Professionals**

## **A Notice of Record, Responsibility, and Reckoning**

This document is not written in anger, nor in pursuit of retribution.

It is written because a record now exists and because silence in the presence of that record has consequences.

Over the past several years, substantial documentary evidence has entered the public domain concerning the operation known as Operation Talla: a UK-wide policing command structure operating across all forces, under National Police Chiefs' Council coordination, during the COVID-19 period.

That evidence does not come from rumour, social media, or anonymous sources.

It comes from police disclosures, sworn testimony, internal directives, Freedom of Information responses, and statutory inquiry records.

The media's role in relation to that record is now unavoidable.

### 1. What the Record Now Shows

The documentary trail establishes, beyond reasonable dispute, that:

A large, structured evidential submission (CRN 6029679/21) was made to the Metropolitan Police in December 2021.

That submission was multi-module in scope, encompassing:

testing governance,

non-pharmaceutical intervention harms,

coercive policy effects,

clinical practices including Midazolam and DNRs,  
suppression of therapeutics,  
regulatory capture and enforcement,  
vaccine authorisation and pharmacovigilance failures.

The matter was treated internally as legally sensitive, including referral to legal services for oversight advice.

Despite this, the case was later publicly reframed as narrow, informal and limited to a single theme, before being closed.

No CPIA-compliant criminal investigation was undertaken.

These are not interpretations. They are institutional facts, now fixed in record.

## 2. What the Media Was Told and What It Repeated

During the same period:

Media outlets repeatedly asserted that “no evidence” existed.

The matter was characterised as fringe, conspiratorial, or settled.

Public concern was framed as misinformation rather than as uninvestigated allegation.

The scope of the evidential submission was routinely misrepresented or ignored.

In several instances, reporting relied upon police assurances that now stand in direct contradiction to disclosed internal handling.

This creates a problem - not for campaigners, but for journalism.

### 3. The Distinction That Now Matters

There is a difference between:

reporting uncertainty before evidence is available and maintaining certainty after the record contradicts it.

The first is journalism.

The second is institutional alignment.

No journalist is expected to prejudge guilt.

But nor can journalists legitimately continue to assert absence of substance where procedural seriousness, legal oversight and evidential scale are now documented.

At this point, silence is not neutrality.

### 4. The CPIA Problem the Media Has Not Addressed

Under the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, once a crime reference is issued, police are obliged to pursue reasonable lines of enquiry - including those which may undermine any decision to close a case.

Where:

a CRN is issued,

evidence is multi-module,

legal services are consulted,  
and no investigation occurs,  
the question is no longer “Were allegations true?”  
The question is “Why was the statutory process not followed?”

This is a constitutional issue.

It is inherently newsworthy.  
And it is one the media has not asked.

## 5. The Cost of Continuing Silence

Media professionals should understand the risk now crystallising.

The documentary archive is growing.  
Disclosures are timestamped.  
Contradictions are internal, not external.

At some point, the question will no longer be why the police acted as they did,  
but why journalists repeated explanations that did not withstand disclosure.

History is rarely kind to those who mistake proximity to authority for  
protection.

## 6. What Is Being Asked and What Is Not

No one is asking journalists to endorse conclusions.

No one is asking for sensationalism.

No one is asking for alignment with campaigners.

What is being asked is far simpler:

Acknowledge the existence of the record.

Accurately represent its scope.

Ask why contradictions within official accounts were not resolved.

Examine why legal oversight attached to a matter later described as trivial.

Report the procedural questions honestly.

That is not activism.

That is journalism.

## 7. A Final Observation

Institutions often survive crises by narrowing narratives.

Journalism exists to prevent that narrowing from becoming truth.

Operation Talla is now documented.

Its handling of reported crime is now evidenced.

The contradictions are now on the public record.

Those facts will not disappear.

The only remaining variable is who chose to look away and who did not.

Kind regards to you all.

Ian

Ian Clayton

Ethical Approach UK

8 January 2026

P.S.

This message is not an ultimatum.

It is a marker.

The record is no longer emerging.

It has emerged.

What happens next will define reputations - not in the moment, but in retrospect.