

Let The Evidence Speak

A Reflection on the Ethical Approach UK Investigation into Operation Talla

Introduction

Throughout history, institutions have often sought to reassure the public by asserting that their actions were lawful, necessary, proportionate, justified, evidence-based, or undertaken in the public interest.

Such assertions may be true.

They may also be false.

The only reliable method of determining which is correct is through examination of evidence.

Not assumptions.

Not narratives.

Not personalities.

Not political affiliations.

Not institutional reputation.

Evidence.

The Ethical Approach UK investigation into Operation Talla has never been an exercise in demanding that the public

accept a predetermined conclusion, nor has it been an exercise in asking anyone to place faith in the opinions of its investigators.

Quite the opposite.

Its central proposition is remarkably simple:

Let the evidence speak.

The Most Important Feature of the Investigation

Perhaps the most significant aspect of the Operation Talla investigation is frequently overlooked.

The evidence does not originate from Ethical Approach UK.

It originates from the institutions which are under investigation themselves.

The evidence consists of:

- Police force documentation;
- Police force correspondence;
- Freedom of Information disclosures;
- Internal police communications;
- Internal police operational records;
- Internal crime progression data;
- NPCC material;
- NPoCC material;

- Scottish Police Authority material;
- HMICFRS material;
- Crown Prosecution Service evidence;
- UK Covid Inquiry evidence;
- Government records;
- Official policy documents;
- Public authority disclosures;
- Witness testimony;
- Contemporary communications;
- And numerous other forms of primary source material.

This distinction is critical.

Ethical Approach UK did not create these documents.

Ethical Approach UK did not write these emails.

Ethical Approach UK did not author these policies.

Ethical Approach UK did not create these command structures.

Ethical Approach UK did not establish these operational frameworks.

The institutions themselves did it all.

The investigation merely discovered them.

The Difference Between Commentary and Evidence

Modern public discourse frequently confuses commentary with evidence.

Commentary is interpretation.

Evidence is evidence.

Commentary can be right.

Commentary can be wrong.

Evidence simply exists.

One of the reasons the Operation Talla investigation has become increasingly difficult to dismiss is because the material uncovered is primary evidence.

A police force document remains a police force document regardless of who discovers it.

An internal communication remains an internal communication regardless of who obtains it.

A Freedom of Information disclosure remains a disclosure issued by the public authority itself.

The evidence possesses an independence which commentary does not.

It speaks in its own words.

The Institutions Are Speaking

A curious phenomenon has emerged during the course of the investigation.

Many critics continue to direct their objections toward Ethical Approach UK, but the body of material relied upon originates from elsewhere.

When a police force issues a document, the police force is speaking.

When a senior officer sends an email, the officer is speaking.

When the Crown Prosecution Service provides evidence to a public inquiry, the CPS is speaking.

When HMICFRS publishes findings, HMICFRS is speaking.

When the Scottish Police Authority participates in discussions, publishes papers, or conducts oversight activities, the SPA is speaking.

When the UK Covid Inquiry receives and publishes evidence, the contributors are speaking.

The role of Ethical Approach UK is not to manufacture those voices.

It is to ensure they can be heard.

Turning Up the Volume

Perhaps the most accurate description of the investigation is this:

Ethical Approach UK has not written the script. The script already existed. The institutions wrote it themselves.

The investigation found the script, placed it on the table, and turned up the volume.

That distinction matters enormously, because it shifts the focus away from personalities and toward substance.

The question ceases to be:

"What does Ethical Approach UK believe?"

Instead, the question becomes:

"What do these documents actually say?"

That is where the discussion belongs.

The Constitutional Importance of Primary Evidence

In any healthy democracy, institutions derive legitimacy not merely from authority but from accountability.

Accountability requires visibility.

Visibility requires evidence.

Evidence requires disclosure.

Without access to primary evidence, public understanding becomes dependent upon official summaries, selective reporting, institutional explanations, and public relations narratives.

Primary evidence provides something fundamentally different.

- It allows the public to examine matters directly.
- It permits citizens to form conclusions for themselves.
- It reduces dependency upon intermediaries.
- It creates transparency.
- Most importantly, it allows institutions to be judged according to their own words rather than the words of others.

The Public Should Read for Themselves

One of the recurring themes throughout the Operation Talla investigation has been a simple invitation:

- Read the documents.
- Read the correspondence.
- Read the disclosures.
- Read the evidence.

Then reach your own conclusions.

There is no requirement to agree with Ethical Approach UK.

There is no requirement to agree with any commentator, campaigner, politician, journalist, lawyer, academic, police officer, regulator or public official.

The evidence should be examined independently, because that is how evidence is supposed to function.

Conclusion

The greatest strength of the Operation Talla investigation is not the identity of those conducting it.

It is the provenance of the evidence itself.

The material uncovered is not speculation.

It is not hearsay.

It is not rumour.

It is not fiction.

It is a growing body of primary-source evidence originating from the very institutions whose actions are under examination.

That is why the investigation continues and that is why further evidence continues to emerge.

And, that is why the most important message remains unchanged.

Do not listen to Ethical Approach UK.

Do not listen to critics of Ethical Approach UK.

Do not listen to supporters of Ethical Approach UK.

Listen to the evidence.

- Read it.
- Examine it.
- Test it.
- Challenge it.
- Scrutinise it.

And then allow it to do what evidence is supposed to do.

Let it speak for itself and tell its own story.

Ian Clayton

13 June 2026