

# **Constitutional Clarity - Let the Evidence Speak**

## **Introduction**

Britain in 2025 is unconstitutional. Citizens are told we live under the rule of law, safeguarded by the separation of powers. Yet the evidence now available shows something different: a collapse of accountability, an erosion of constitutional checks and the emergence of a rogue Governmental infrastructure operating outside of lawful bounds.

This is not rhetoric. It is fact, evidenced by disclosures, testimony and patterns of institutional behaviour.

**Let the evidence speak.**

## **1. The Executive - Governing by Directive**

Operation Talla: Directives issued by NPCC and Gold Command instructed police forces to reject categories of lawful complaints (including vaccine-related harms).

These instructions came from the executive chain, not Parliament.

Effect: suppression of evidence pipelines, breach of the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 and obstruction of coronial duties under Article 2 ECHR.

The executive presented Talla as “policing excellence,” yet the very forces told to comply now deny knowledge of it. This contradiction alone proves systemic deceit.

## **2. The Legislature - Asleep on Duty**

Parliamentary committees are constitutionally mandated to scrutinise the executive.

Yet correspondence raising grave constitutional and safeguarding concerns has been ignored, delayed, or met with automated replies.

When institutions fail to even acknowledge questions of life, death and legality, Parliament ceases to function as a constitutional check.

In effect, Members of Parliament appear compromised - whether by private interests, conflicts, or fear and oversight has become fiction.

### **3. The Judiciary – Silent and Entangled**

Judges have deflected or ignored valid constitutional queries, despite their own duty to uphold the law.

Senior judges sat on the 2019 AI Judicial Committee, with representation from the World Economic Forum, raising concerns about separation of powers and external influence.

The judiciary insists on answers in its courts but refuses them when questions are raised of its own role.

A judiciary that cannot humble itself to lawful scrutiny loses moral authority to sit in judgment on the people.

### **4. Regulators - Praetorian Guards of the Executive**

Regulators such as the GMC and SRA have pursued dissenting professionals for raising legitimate concerns on COVID-19 policy and vaccine harms.

Both bodies received funds and participated in projects linked to the Regulators' Pioneer Fund - itself connected to WEF "Agile Regulation" initiatives.

Complaints against state-aligned professionals, by contrast, are dismissed or buried.

This is not regulation in the public interest. It is weaponised bureaucracy, shielding policy rather than safeguarding standards.

## **5. The Police – From Protectors to Gatekeepers**

Local forces claim ignorance of Operation Talla, despite NPCC documents proving its national scope.

Officers with decades of service report never hearing of it, even as senior leadership celebrated Talla in awards ceremonies.

Police silence and denial is indistinguishable from complicity in a cover-up.

Policing has been transformed from an independent investigative function into a gatekeeping mechanism for the executive.

## **6. The Crown - Oath Deeply in Question**

If the Coronation Oath was not sworn in the statutory form prescribed by the Coronation Oath Act 1689, then the monarchy's legal foundation is in doubt.

A defective oath cannot confer lawful authority.

Without a valid oath, the Crown itself becomes unable to act as constitutional backstop.

The question is simple: was the oath valid, or has Britain been left without a lawful sovereign safeguard?

## **7. The Common Revealing Denominator - COVID-19 and Its Policies**

Every point of collapse - policing, regulation, judicial silence, Parliamentary inertia - connects to the same denominator: COVID-19 policy and vaccines.

This cannot be coincidence. It reveals coordination. It reveals a system designed not to protect the people, but to protect the state narrative at all costs.

## **Conclusion - The Evidence Speaks**

The evidence leaves no room for ambiguity:

Britain's constitution has already collapsed .

The executive governs unchecked.

Parliament fails in oversight.

The judiciary shields itself.

Regulators serve policy, not principle.

Police suppress, rather than investigate.

Even the Crown's foundation is extremely uncertain.

This is not a Britain governed by law, but a Britain governed by a managed narrative. It has gone.

***Ian Clayton***

***12<sup>th</sup> October 2025***

***Published in the public interest by Ethical Approach UK  
- October 2025***